1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 WAYMO LLC, CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] v. **ORDER REGARDING WAYMO'S** 13 REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-**UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**; REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO ANTHONY 14 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LEVANDOWSKI'S MOTION FOR LLC. **INTERVENTION UNDER RULE 24 AND** 15 MODIFICATION OF ORDER Defendants. **GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN** 16 PART PROVISIONAL RELIEF 17 Hearing Date: June 7, 2017 18 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	WHEREAS, on March 10, 2017, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") filed a Motion for		
2	Preliminary Injunction. (Dkt. 24.)		
3	WHEREAS, on May 15, 2017, the Court entered a public Order (the "Order") granting in		
4	part and denying in part Waymo's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. (Dkt. 433.)		
5	WHEREAS, on May 18, 2017, Non-Party Anthony Levandowski ("Levandowski") filed a		
6	Motion for Intervention Under Rule 24 and Modification of the Order (the "Motion"). (Dkt. 466.)		
7	WHEREAS, on May 22, 2017, the Court entered an Order Shortening the Briefing		
8	Schedule on the Motion. (Dkt. 487.)		
9	WHEREAS, on May 26, 2017, Waymo filed an Opposition to Levandowski's Motion.		
10	(Dkt. 512.)		
11	WHEREAS, on May 30, 2017, Levandowski filed a Reply in Support of the Motion (the		
12	"Reply") that provides new evidence and argument relating to a "new development" in connection		
13	with Mr. Levandowski's employment at Uber. (Dkt. 519.)		
14	WHEREAS, Levandowski and Waymo agree that Waymo should have an opportunity to		
15	respond to the new evidence and argument offered in the Reply.		
16	THEREFORE, the parties stipulate as follows: Waymo shall be authorized to file a Sur-		
17	Reply in opposition to the Motion not to exceed 5 pages in length before 6:00 p.m. PDT on Friday,		
18	June 2, 2017.		
19	IT IS STIPULATED.		
20			
21	DATED: May 31, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
22	By /s/Charles K. Verhoeven		
23	Charles K. Verhoeven		
24	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 530 Filed 05/31/17 Page 3 of 4

1	DATED: May 31, 2017	RAMSEY & EHRLICH, LLP	
2		By /s/ Amy Craig	
3		Miles Ehrlich Ismail Ramsey	
4		Amy Craig	
		Attorneys for Non-Party Anthony Levandowski	
5			
6	DUDGITANTE TO CEIDIU ATION TE IC CO ODDEDED		
7	TORSEMULTO STILLER	TI, II IS SO ORDERED.	
8			
9	DATED:, 2		
10		The Honorable William H. Alsup	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-2- No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING WAYMO'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Amy Craig. /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven
Charles K. Verhoeven